

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 ADRIAN PEREZ,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 SANDOVAL, et al.,

15 Defendants.

Case No. 1:22-cv-00391-SKO (PC)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED  
FOR FAILURE TO OBEY COURT  
ORDERS**

**21-DAY DEADLINE**

17 Plaintiff Adrian Perez is proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action  
18 brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

19 **I. RELEVANT PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND**

20 On March 10, 2023, the Court issued its First Screening Order. (Doc. 8.) The Court  
21 determined Plaintiff's complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. (*Id.* at  
22 4, 8.) The Court directed Plaintiff to file a first amended complaint curing the deficiencies  
23 identified in the order, or to file a notice of voluntary dismissal within 21 days of service of the  
24 order. (*Id.* at 8.)

25 Although more than 21 days have passed without a response from Plaintiff, the Court  
26 notes a March 20, 2023, docket entry reflects Plaintiff did not receive the screening order because  
27 it was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Undeliverable, RTS-Paroled." (See  
28 Docket Entry dated 3/20/23.)

## II. DISCUSSION AND ORDER

2 The Local Rules, corresponding with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, provide,  
3 “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with . . . any order of the Court may be grounds for  
4 the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.”  
5 Local Rule 110. “District courts have inherent power to control their dockets” and, in exercising  
6 that power, may impose sanctions, including dismissal of an action. *Thompson v. Housing Auth.*,  
7 *City of Los Angeles*, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action based on a  
8 party’s failure to prosecute an action, obey a court order, or comply with local rules. *See, e.g.*,  
9 *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with a  
10 court order to amend a complaint); *Malone v. U.S. Postal Service*, 833 F.2d 128, 130-31 (9th Cir.  
11 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court order); *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421,  
12 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to prosecute and to comply with local rules).

13 Local Rule 182(f) provides that a “pro se party is under a continuing duty to notify the  
14 Clerk and all other parties of any change of address .... Absent such notice, service of documents  
15 at the prior address of the ... pro se party shall be fully effective.”

16 Here, Plaintiff has failed to obey the Court’s March 10, 2023, screening order requiring  
17 Plaintiff to file either a first amended complaint or a notice of voluntary dismissal within 21 days  
18 of service of that order. Plaintiff has also failed to keep the Court apprised of his current address.<sup>1</sup>

19 Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** Plaintiff to show cause in writing, within 21 days of  
20 the date of service of this order, why this action should not be dismissed for his failure to keep the  
21 Court apprised of his current address and for his failure to comply with the Court's order of  
22 March 10, 2023. Alternatively, within that same time, Plaintiff may file either a first amended  
23 complaint or a notice of voluntary dismissal *and* a Notice of Change of Address.

24 //  
25 //  
26 //

<sup>28</sup> <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff's address on file with the Court is "Adrian Perez, AP-7822, Wasco State Prison, P.O. Box 4400, Wasco, CA 93280-4400."

1                   **WARNING: Failure to comply with this Order to Show Cause (OSC) will result in a**  
2                   **recommendation that this action be dismissed without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to**  
3                   **obey court orders and failure to prosecute.**

4  
5                   IT IS SO ORDERED.  
6

7                   Dated: April 11, 2023

8  
9  
10                   \_\_\_\_\_  
11                   */s/ Sheila K. Oberto*  
12                   UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28